



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/153,631      | 09/15/1998  | KARIM YOUNES         | ROKWELL.036A        | 5801             |

20995 7590 01/22/2002

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP  
620 Newport Center Drive  
Sixteenth Floor  
Newport Beach, CA 92660

EXAMINER

OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2654

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/153,631

Applicant(s)

YOUNES ET AL.

Examiner

Michael N. Opsasnick

Art Unit

2654

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael N. Opsasnick.

(3) Mr. Martin Hellebrandt.

(2) Mr. John King.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 January 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-38.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ladden et al (5885003).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion pertained to the degree of the autonomous nature of the mobile unit as claimed in claim 1. The proposed claim language additions may overcome the prior art of record, however, it would require further consideration and/or search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required